Race, Racism, Racist, Racial, Racialism, Racialist

The Noah Webster Dictionary of the English Language 1888 defines the word “race” as:

“1. The descendants of a common ancestor; a family, a tribe, people, or a nation, believed or presumed to belong to the same stock; a lineage; a breed.”

It then goes on to provide examples of several divisions of the various races, or how some have determined why these various divisions of the races do exist.

The word “racist” does not exist in this 1888 dictionary. However, the word racist does exist in our much newer American Heritage Dictionary 1991. It appears that the word racist is a rather new word that has been provided with a new definition in the English language.

Black’s Law Dictionary, sixth edition, defines the word “race” as:

“An ethnical stock; a great division of mankind having in common certain distinguishing physical peculiarities constituting a comprehensive class appearing to be derived from a distinct primitive source. A tribal or national stock; a division or subdivision of one of the great racial stocks of mankind distinguished by minor peculiarities.”

The American Heritage Dictionary 1991 defines the word “racism” as:

“1. The belief that race accounts for difference in human character or ability and that a particular race is superior to others.” (Emphasis mine).

“2. Discrimination or prejudice based on race, - racist.”

It is interesting to see that this definition (as is now used in many newer English dictionaries) has been designed to place the word “racism” into a negative connotation by the addition and wording of the phrase “…and that a particular race is superior to others” and also by the definition of item “2. Discrimination or prejudice based on race.”

Unfortunately such a definition makes no account or provisions for someone who may believe that there are indeed differences among the various races on the globe, but do not believe that “a particular race is superior to another.”

It is not really that difficult by simple observation, or by using modern scientific genotypes to come to the conclusion that there are indeed differences among the various races on the globe, and that these differences do contribute to character, ability, physical features, skin color, or a multitude of other variations that form distinct differences among the various races on the globe, none of which have anything to do with the modern definitions of “racism, racist, or prejudice” or that these observable differences would automatically lead to the conclusion that “a particular race is superior to another.”

It is a fact that all men are not in any way “created equal,” but that all men (I am using the term “men” collectively) are without exception different and not at all created to be equal. All men are “equal” only by the expressed application of civil or moral laws when those laws are adapted as a National standard. (For example, the unanimous Declaration of the 13 united States of America, July 4, 1776).

The Creator of the universe did in fact form and create the various races on the globe to be different by intent and design, as were all other living things. All of His creation was at their beginning to be “good,”
(Genesis 1:21). This being the truth would the Creator himself be a “racist” if by His design the races were not intended to be the same, but expressly different?

Racialism:

“1. A variant of racism, racist.”

The American Heritage Dictionary 1991 defines the word “racial” as:

“1. Of, relating to, or characteristic of race or races.”

“2. Arising from or based on differences among racial groups; racial conflicts; racial discrimination, - racially.”

The Webster’s new universal Dictionary, 1965 defines the word “racial” as:

“1. Of or pertaining to race, family, or descent; of or pertaining to the races of mankind; ethnological.”

Apparently by these definitions there appears to be some recognition that the word “racial” does accept that there may be certain characteristics of the various races on the globe.

We agree with the definition the word “racial” as defined by The American Heritage Dictionaries and others that recognize that there are indeed various characteristics among the races as well as other distinctive features, none of which have anything to do with, or which would lead to the conclusion that “a particular race is superior to another.”

The American Heritage Dictionary 1991 defines “ist” as:

“A noun suffix meaning one who practices or is occupied with, or a believer in, as evangelist, pianist, abolitionist, and theorist.” To which we will now add, the words theologist, and racialist.

The word “racialist” is accurately defined as:

One who believes that various races of man-kind do and have always existed on the globe from the beginning of its Creation, and that the races were specifically designed by their Creator to be different. The difference among the various racial groups begins with their Creator and involves origin, descent, tribal and family genotype; that ethnologically and physically these differences may contribute to character or a multitude of other variations and distinctions among them. Yet, none of these differences have anything to do with the modern definition of “racism, racist” or “prejudice” or that the racial differences should always lead to the conclusion that “one particular race is superior to another.”

If you believe that there are in fact various “races” on this globe, and that all do NOT originate come from one original, or are of the same “stock” or branch of people, and that one race was not created to be superior then the others but that all are in fact created to be different, then you are actually a “racialist” NOT a “racist.”

Yes, there is a big difference between the two terms so let’s make others aware of the difference. Don’t let the liberal frame of mind label you a racist just because you believe that the Creator did in fact create the various races to be different.
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